## **Montgomery Blair Sibley**

Write-In Candidate for President of the United States

## PRESS RELEASE #9

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

December 20, 2012

**For More Information Contact:** 

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY through www.MontgomeryBlairSibley.com

SIBLEY PRESENTS EVIDENCE TO COURT OF CRIMINAL TAMPERING OF RECORDS RELATED TO OBAMA'S BIRTH

AND

JUDGE BATES REFUSES TO RULE ON MOTIONS TO HOLD OBAMA IN CONTEMPT

**WASHINGTON D.C.** - The multifaceted litigation that Montgomery Blair Sibley has initiated in an attempt to get to the truth regarding the birth records of Barack Hussein Obama II has both produced fruit and met judicial stonewalling.

Yesterday, Sibley filed an Emergency Second Motion for Order to Release Privacy Act-Protected Records. That motion presented photographic evidence to Judge Bates that someone has tampered with the evidence related to Obama's putative birth in Hawaii on August 4, 1961. Sibley had subpoena from the National Archives the "Arrival Records" for August 1 through August 10, 1961, of all passengers arriving in Honolulu, Hawaii to see if records existed that Obama and his mother arrived in Hawaii during that time frame. What NARA produced were two microfilm spools of the arrival records for July 28 through August 1, 1961 and August 8 through August 12, 1961. As detailed in the Emergency Motion, the original date on the box of "August 7" has been altered by "white-out" and a new date of "August 1" had been written on the box. Proof of that alteration comes from a photograph of the same box taken nine months earlier which reveals the date was originally "August 7". Thus, indisputably the box has been tampered with – a criminal offense – to hide the fact that the microfilm for the August 2 through August 7, 1961 arrivals is now missing.

Judge Bates continues to ignore Court Rules and stonewall the resolution of Sibley's pending Motions for a Contempt against: (i) <u>Obama 1<sup>st</sup></u> and <u>Obama 2<sup>nd</sup></u>, (ii) <u>Harvard Law School</u>, (iii) <u>the Social Security Administration</u>, (iv) <u>the Selective Service System</u> and (v) <u>the State Department</u>.

Sibley said: "To me, Judge Bates is <u>no Judge John Sirica</u> of the same Court who, in 1973, ordered President Nixon to turn over subpoenaed tapes of White House conversations. As all who remember know, when the Supreme Court upheld Judge Sirica's order in July 1974, Nixon resigned in the face of impeachment and nineteen officials from the Nixon White House were convicted. It is a sad commentary on the state of our so-called judiciary that even when faced with evidence of criminal behavior related to birth records of Obama, Judge Bates <u>refuses</u> to rule in order to shield Obama from the engine of truth which is a judicial proceeding."