The Judicial Council

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-13-90035 DC-13-90036

A Charge of Judicial Misconduct or Disability

Before: GARLAND, Chief Judge of the Circuit

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a magistrate judge and a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(B) and (D).

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judges, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).

Merrick B. Garland, Chief Judge District of Columbia Circuit

Date: 1-15-2014

MEMORANDUM

The complainant alleges that a magistrate judge and judge of the United States

District Court for the District of Columbia engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective

and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. For the following reasons,

the allegations do not warrant action against the subject judges.

The complainant alleges that the magistrate judge "(i) falsified the record, (ii) exceeded [the judge's] jurisdiction and (iii) impersonated an Article III judge in dismissing the Indictment." These allegations arise out of the magistrate judge's 2009 dismissal, at the request of the United States Attorney, of a dormant criminal indictment that had remained outstanding since 1988 and in which the complainant played no role whatsoever. The complainant also objects to the magistrate's denial of the complainant's motion to intervene in those proceedings. Because those allegations are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," the complaint against the magistrate judge "must be dismissed." JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(B); See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

The complainant also asserts that the subject district court judge was "repeatedly made aware of this malfeasance of [the magistrate judge], failed to take any action and sought to obfuscate and cover-up further inquiry into" the allegations against the magistrate judge. The district judge sent the complainant a detailed letter that reasonably explained the judge's finding that there was no malfeasance on the part of the magistrate

judge and hence no warrant for further action. Because the allegations "lack[] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred" on the part of either the magistrate or the district judge, the complaint against the district judge must also be dismissed. Id. 11(c)(1)(D).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL -DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Appeals within 35 days of the date of the Clerk's letter transmitting the dismissal Order and this Memorandum. *Id.* R. 18(b).

OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

UNITED STATES COURTS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Elizabeth H. Paret 202.216.7340 Phone 202.273.0331 Fax E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

NOTICE

January 16, 2014

Montgomery Sibley 4000 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Apartment 1518 Washington, DC 20016-5136

Re: Judicial Complaint Nos. DC-13-90035

DC-13-90036

Dear Mr. Sibley:

The Chief Judge of the District of Columbia Circuit has dismissed Judicial Council Complaint Nos. DC-13-90035 (Robinson) and DC-13-90036 (Roberts). The Order and Memorandum dated January 15, 2014 are attached.

You may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit under Rule 18 of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability. Any such petition must be received in the Office of the Circuit Executive at the above address within 35 days of the date of this notice.

Sincerely,

Deputy Circuit Executive

Attachment

OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

United States Courts of the District of Columbia Circuit

Elizabeth H. Paret 202.216.7340 Phone 202.273.0331 Fax E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

April 30, 2014

Montgomery Blair Sibley 4000 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Apartment 1518 Washington, DC 20016

Re: Judicial Complaint Nos. DC-13-90035

DC-13-90036

Dear Mr. Sibley:

In response to your letter dated April 27, 2014, regarding the status of Complaints of Judicial Misconduct Nos. DC-13-90035 and DC-13-90036, I am attaching a copy of documents mailed to you on February 19, 2014.

Sincerely,

Steven Gallagher

Deputy Circuit Executive

OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

UNITED STATES COURTS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Elizabeth H. Paret 202.216.7340 Phone 202.273.0331 Fax E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

NOTICE

February 19, 2014

Montgomery Blair Sibley 4000 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Apartment 1518 Washington, DC 20016

Re: Judicial Complaint Nos. DC-13-90035

DC-13-90036

Dear Mr. Sibley:

The Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit has affirmed the Chief Judge's disposition of Judicial Council Complaint Nos. DC-13-90035 (Robinson) and DC-13-90036 (Roberts). The enclosed order is final and is not reviewable.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth H. Paret Circuit Executive

Enclosure