

(Date)

Foreman  
Grand Jury of \_\_\_\_\_, County  
Address  
City, State Zip

Greetings:

I write to request the Grand Jury investigate a serious criminal activity that the government has refused to address: the legitimacy of the documents upon which Barack Hussein Obama claims to be eligible to be President. But before I address the enclosed evidence in support of this request, some background is in order.

In 1895, Supreme Court Justice Brewer in *Frisbie v. United States*, 157 U.S. 160, 163 (1895) described a system relying on an energetic Grand Jury: “[I]n this country the common practice is for the Grand Jury to investigate any alleged crime, no matter how or by whom suggested to them, and after determining that the evidence is sufficient to justify putting the party suspected on trial, to direct the preparation of the formal charge or indictment.”

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires that “no person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury.”

In 1758, William Blackstone – the noted legal scholar who influenced the founding fathers – gave the classic definition of a presentment: “A presentment, properly speaking, is the notice taken by a grand jury of any offence from their own knowledge or observation, without any bill of indictment laid before them at the suit of the king. . . . upon which the officer of the court must afterwards frame an indictment, before the party presented as the [perpetrator] can be put to answer it.”

Based upon the foregoing , I ask the Grand Jury to take two actions. First, consider fully without the prosecutor being present, the implications of the enclosed Request for Investigation and Presentment that compels the conclusion that the certificate of live birth presented to the American public and posted on the White House website in April 2011 is a forgery. Likewise, the Request demonstrates that there is reasonable cause to believe that Mr. Obama’s Selective Service Registration and Social Security cards are forgeries.

Second, after reviewing the information in the Request, allow Montgomery Blair Sibley, who has extensive knowledge of these matters and has taken these issues repeatedly to

the U.S. Supreme Court which has refused to hear the case, to make a roughly 30-minute presentation to the Grand Jury disclosing as-yet unreleased information about Mr. Obama's background.

Upon this submission I, as a private citizen, have discharged my civic duty and can do no more. You, as a Grand Juror, are charged with a further civic duty than I and can, if you determine it just, do a great deal more. As stated in the 1891 Maryland case of *Blaney v. State*: "To [the grand jury] is committed the preservation of the peace of the county; the care of bringing to light for examination, trial, and punishment, all violence, outrage, indecency, and terror; everything that may occasion danger, disturbance, or dismay to the citizen. They are watchmen, stationed by the laws to survey the conduct of their fellow-citizens, and inquire where and by whom public authority has been violated or the laws infringed."

Accordingly I leave you with these questions: What harm will occur if you accord those 30 minutes to hear the evidence? More importantly, what harm might occur if you don't?

Respectfully,

{signed}

Name