STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY COURT
COUNTY OF STEUBEN

In the Matter of the Pistol Permit of

DECISION

Index No. 2019-7PP

Pistol Permit #C35494

Montgomery Sibley,

Applicant.

Appearances: Montgomery Sibley, Corning, New York

Montgomery Sibley submitted a pistol permit application dated July 18, 2018 to the Steuben County Clerk's Office. The application was forwarded to the Steuben County Sheriff's Office for review and received by the Court May 8, 2019. The Court denied the application May 29, 2019. The denial was based on: 1) concern about Mr. Sibley's ability to responsibly possess and care for a pistol; and, 2) concern that Mr. Sibley's history demonstrates that he puts his own interests above the interests of society. The Court informed Mr. Sibley that he could request a hearing to allow him to testify and present any witnesses to address the Court's concerns. Upon receipt of Mr. Sibley's request, the Court scheduled the hearing for July 31, 2019. The Court received further correspondence from Mr. Sibley objecting to the July date and requesting that the hearing be scheduled for January 10, 2020 in the morning for 90 minutes. The Court accommodated Mr. Sibley's request and the hearing was held on January 10, 2020.

At the hearing, the Court provided Mr. Sibley the opportunity to present witnesses, testify and introduce written evidence. Mr. Sibley chose to testify and submit a binder

consisting of 45 documents totaling 250 pages, marked and admitted as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

At the end of his testimony, Mr. Sibley indicated he had nothing further to add and the Court noted that a written decision would be issued.

Penal Law § 400 governs the issuance of pistol permits. The relevant requirement in this matter is that the applicant be "of good moral character". Penal Law § 400 1. (b). Good cause exists to deny a permit where the applicant lacks "the essential temperament or character which should be present in one entrusted with a dangerous [weapon]..., or that he or she does not possess the maturity, prudence, carefulness, good character, temperament, demeanor and judgment necessary to have a pistol permit." *Matter of Gurnett v. Bargnesi*, 147 AD3d 1319 [4th Dept. 2017] [internal quotation marks omitted].

Western civilization has long recognized that good moral character is the ideal state of a person's beliefs and values that provides the most benefit to a healthy and worthy society. Good moral character is more than having an unblemished criminal record. A person of good moral character behaves in an ethical manner and provides the Court, and ultimately society, reassurance that he can be trusted to make good decisions. Aldo Leopold said that "ethical behavior is doing the right thing when no one else is watching — even when doing the wrong thing is legal." Given the nature of the responsibility involved with the handling of a dangerous weapon, the Court must be assured of the applicant's ability to follow the law and abide by rules and regulations necessary to protect the safety of the individual and society. The Court must also have a basis to trust that the applicant's character is such that he will behave in an ethical manner where there are no written rules. The evidence presented does not provide the Court with assurance that Mr. Sibley can follow specific laws, rules and regulations let alone behave in an ethical and responsible manner necessary to be granted a pistol permit. In short, Mr. Sibley has failed to demonstrate his good moral character.

The Court first notes that Mr. Sibley has been suspended from the practice of law in the State of Florida, the District of Columbia and the State of New York as well as various federal courts. This gives the Court pause in considering Mr. Sibley's application. The Preamble to the New York Rules of Professional Conduct notes that a lawyer, as a member of the legal profession, is an officer of the legal system with special responsibility for the quality of justice. A lawyer has a duty to uphold the legal process and demonstrate respect for the legal system as well as further the public's understanding of and confidence in the rule of law and the justice system. Because Mr. Sibley has failed to maintain these duties as an officer of the legal system, the Court lacks confidence that Mr. Sibley will follow both the explicit and implicit rules inherent in the responsibility of a pistol permit holder.

Even assuming, arguendo, that Mr. Sibley has somehow rehabilitated himself from the circumstances that led to his disbarment, his testimony at the hearing belies any such notion. During his testimony, Mr. Sibley argued that although his actions as an attorney may have been vexatious and meritless they were not frivolous. This is a distinction without a difference¹ and factually incorrect. In 2006, the Florida Supreme Court held that Mr. Sibley's "frivolous and abusive filings must immediately come to an end" and found sanctions appropriate. *Sibley v. Fla. Judicial Qualifications Comm'n*, 973 So.2d 425, 427 [2006]. Even after his disbarment, Mr. Sibley has continued to pursue frivolous litigation in various courts. As recently as 2018, Mr. Sibley was sanctioned by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland for his "frivolous and vexatious litigation strategy." *CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Sibley*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169864, *9 [Md. October 2, 2018].

¹ See also In Re Sibley, 2010 D.C. App. LEXIS 89, **26 [2010] ["We reject the distinction that respondent seeks to draw between "meritless" claims and "frivolous" claims."]

Finally, this Court agrees with the Fourth Department's assessment of Mr. Sibley: "Respondent, by his conduct, has demonstrated his disregard and disrespect for the judiciary as well as his absence of remorse." 61 A.D.3d 85, 87 [4th Dept. 2009]. Given these circumstances, the Court is unable to find Mr. Sibley to be of good moral character.

Based on Mr. Sibley's application, the testimony presented to the Court, the evidence received and upon due deliberation, the Court confirms the denial of the pistol permit application of Montgomery Sibley. Upon his readmission to the bar of New York, Mr. Sibley may submit a new application for a pistol permit.

This constitutes the decision of the Court.

Dated: March 9, 2020

Bath, New York

HON. CHAUNCEY J. WATCHES

County Court Judge